

From: Medical EMRRFSA [<mailto:medical@emrrfsa.co.za>]
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 9:40 AM
To: 'Leon du Toit'
Cc: 'Faiza Dawod'; Stewart Baloyi
Subject: RE: Health Concerns and lack of Protection.
Importance: High

Mr Leon Du Toit,
Department of Health

Dear Mr Du Toit,

The objective of our correspondence with you is to have a positive outcome for the many people that are being affected and ignored. It is not right that people are having to desert homes or are becoming ill due to the lack of legislature, control and monitoring. The perception is that you are not concerned about the protection of the public.

Unfortunately our correspondence was ignored until we eventually copied in the Public Protectors Office.

You have still not answered the fact why you are not investigating all the reports where individuals and communities are being affected.

As a “responsible custodian of our health” as you declare below, it is your duty to investigate and research these matters.

Other countries have already investigated the latest research and instituted safer levels and policies, without waiting for the WHO, whose connections to the various industries have often dictated outcomes. Salzburg, Austria is a fine example and it is reflecting in the health of their citizens.

How many more children and adults must suffer before changes are made? Are you aware of the massive increase in paediatric cancers for example ?

Where is your record of all the complaints that you have followed up on as a custodian of our health ?

How can you ignore unexplained deaths of healthy children after cell masts have been erected near homes ?

How can you ignore communities that are having the same symptoms after the installation of a mast ?

Have you pre-tested all the technology and frequencies that are being rolled out now ?

Do you know what is already in homes in an area before more transmissions are rolled out ?

Have you looked at the other country guidelines ?

Have you looked at the European Parliament guidelines ?

Do you have a grid and list of all the masts and antennae in South Africa ?

Do you currently know all the service providers and what frequencies they are transmitting on and when they are rolled out ?

Did you know that Taiwan removed all cell masts from or near schools in 2007 ?

Did you know that Switzerland and France has removed wifi from all schools and ensured they are hardwired with optic fibre ?

Did you know Russia recommends that u18's and pregnant women do not use cell phones ?

Israel's Department of Health has refused the roll-out of 4G until long term health tests can be done.

Salzburg has a level of 0.02v/m in homes.

Have you studied the latest scientific papers ? If not we would be happy to supply you with them.

The public is not being protected in any shape or form or with any legislation on this matter.

We have done enormous amounts of research and are able to assist you with the input of some of the top professors in the world.

Your response to these questions would be appreciated as the matter is now being elevated.

Our objective is to create a safer environment for our children and future generations.

As per Section 24 of the Constitution :

“Everyone has the right to an environment, that is not harmful to their health or wellbeing and to have the environment protected through reasonable legislative measures”

South Africa also signed The Precautionary Principle at The Rio Summit :

The Precautionary Principle

Lack Of Conclusive Evidence &The Precautionary Principle:

"The precautionary principle states that if a policy (or action) has a **suspected risk** of causing harm, in the absence of a rational consensus that harm will not occur, the burden of proof falls on those who would advocate the policy (or action)."

Due to the uncertainty of the validity of "thermal" safety standards in terms not only due to their **wide variation** amongst countries, and the fact that these safety standards **do not cover "non-thermal effects"** the application of the "Precautionary Principle", is advocated.

"Effectively, this allows **policy makers to make discretionary decisions** in situations where there is evidence of potential harm in the absence of complete proof.

The principle implies that there is a **responsibility to intervene and protect people** from exposure to harm where there is a plausible risk."

<http://habitat.igc.org/agenda21/rio-dec.html>
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Precautionary_principle

Sincere regards

Tracey-Lee Dorny.
Chairman.



ELECTROMAGNETIC RADIATION RESEARCH
FOUNDATION OF SOUTH AFRICA

www.emrrfsa.org | Tel (011) 467 1408 Fax (011) 467 1594
info@emrrfsa.co.za

"Our lives begin to end the day we become silent about the things that matter "
Martin Luther King Jnr